

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)  
mjacobs@mofo.com  
MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)  
mdpeters@mofo.com  
DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)  
dmuino@mofo.com  
755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018  
Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
dboies@bsfllp.com  
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504  
Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 749-8300  
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177)  
sholtzman@bsfllp.com  
1999 Harrison St., Suite 900, Oakland, CA 94612  
Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 874-1460  
ALANNA RUTHERFORD  
575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-2300 / Facsimile: (212) 446-2350 (fax)

ORACLE CORPORATION  
DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)  
dorian.daley@oracle.com  
DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)  
deborah.miller@oracle.com  
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 211600)  
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com  
500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065  
Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ORACLE'S  
RESPONSE PRECIS**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(d) and the Protective Order entered in this case, Plaintiff Oracle  
2 America, Inc. (“Oracle”) hereby moves to file portions of Oracle’s précis, submitted in response to  
3 Google’s précis regarding the “Lindholm email” (Dkt. No. 418), under seal.

4 The portions of the précis that would be sealed quote portions of the Lindholm email that were  
5 not read into the public record by the Court. Google continues to designate the entire Lindholm  
6 document “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY.” Oracle does not agree that  
7 the document should be given confidential treatment or be sealed, but submits this administrative  
8 motion and redacted précis in order to comply with the Protective Order in this case.

9  
10 Dated: September 14, 2011

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

11 By: /s/  
12 Fred Norton

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
14 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28